UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,282	08/27/2003	Gene G. Faison JR.	033018-137	4444
	7590 08/14/2007 INGERSOLL & ROONEY	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	,	3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/648,282	FAISON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		/Steven O. Douglas/	3771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence addres	is
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commu	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte		erits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-17 and 24-28 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18,20 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 19,22 and 23 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	ge
Attachmen				
2) Notice (3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 05242007.		/Mail Dateormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/648,282

Art Unit: 3771

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18, 20, and 21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6640050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the structural limitations of claims 18, 20, and 21 of the instant application can be found within claims 1-5 of US Patent 6640050 ('050).

As to claim 18, claims 1-3 of ('050) disclose a fluid vaporizing device for delivery of vaporized fluid, comprising: a resistively heated capillary tube including an inlet and an outlet, the capillary tube being of material having a resistance Rc; a first electrode connected to the capillary tube; and a second electrode connected to the capillary tube closer to the outlet of the

Art Unit: 3771

capillary tube than the first electrode, the second electrode having a resistance wherein the vaporized fluid is generated by passing electrical current through a section of the capillary tube between the first and second electrodes while supplying liquid to the inlet of the capillary tube, the liquid being heated in the capillary tube and forming the vaporized fluid downstream of a meniscus at which liquid passing through the capillary tube is converted to vapor, the fluid vaporizing device having a total hot resistance Rt= Rc+ Re (it is inherent that both the capillary tube and second electrode will have resistance and when added up will sum to a total hot resistance) during delivery of the vaporized fluid, wherein Rt has a preset value effective to provide the meniscus spaced from the outlet by a predetermined distance (it is inherent that the total hot resistance Rt will correspond to a predetermined preset value effective to provide the meniscus spaced from the outlet by a predetermined distance depending on what materials are used for the capillary tube and the second electrode that have a resistance that will sum to a preset value that corresponds to such a predetermined distance).

What is not disclosed by the claims of US patent '050 is the second electrode having a resistance Re which increases as the second electrode is heated resistance Rc which increases as the capillary tube is heated. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the capillary tube and second electrode to have a heated resistance which increases as the capillary tube and electrode are heated because this would prevent excessive heating of the tube and electrode and control the temperature of the vapor that will be delivered to a user of the device so that they may not burn their upper respiratory tract.

As to claim 21, claim 4 of '050 discloses a fluid vaporizing device further comprising a mouthpiece, the outlet of the capillary tube directing vaporized fluid into the mouthpiece.

As to claim 22, claim 5 of '050 discloses a fluid vaporizing device further comprising: a controller; and a sensor; wherein the sensor detects a delivery condition corresponding to delivery of a predetermined volume of aerosol, the controller being operable to effect delivery of medicament-containing liquid to the capillary tube when the delivery condition is sensed by the sensor and effect passage of electrical current through the capillary tube to volatilize the liquid in the capillary tube.

Terminal Disclaimer

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 and 24-28 are allowed.

Claims 19, 22, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3771

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Steven O. Douglas/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771

SD 8/8/07